

THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

THE TEXAS COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

Judicial Commission on Mental Health

August 10, 2018 Meeting Notebook

The State Bar of Texas – Texas Law Center 1414 Colorado Street Austin, Texas 78701

Judicial Commission on Mental Health

August 10, 2018 Meeting Notebook

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Agenda	1
Commissioners	2
Collaborative Council	3
Committees	4
Order Establishing the Judicial Commission on Mental Health/Order Appethe Judicial Commission on Mental Health	
Financial Report	6
Early-Intercept Process Mapping Survey	7
Summit Invitation	8

Judicial Commission on Mental Health

State Bar of Texas, Texas Law Center Austin, Texas August 10, 2018 9:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

AGENDA

9:30	Welcome and Announcements	Judge Barbara Hervey Justice Jeff Brown
9:45	Texas Judicial Council Update	Justice Bill Boyce
10:00	Presentation from Meadows Mental Health Policy Institute Discussion	Dr. Andy Keller
10:45	Health and Human Services Commission Report	Assoc. Commr. Trina Ita Dep. Exec. Commr. Mike Maples
11:15	Jurist In Residence Report	Judge John Specia (ret.)
11:25	Executive Director Report	Kristi Taylor
11:45	Working Lunch	
12:00	Beyond the Bench	Judge Brent Carr
1:20	Break	
1:30	Beyond the Bench Continued	
2:10	Beyond the Bench Discussion	
3:00	Adjourn	

JUDICIAL COMMISSION ON MENTAL HEALTH COMMISSIONERS

Hon. Jeff Brown, Co-Chair

Iustice

Supreme Court of Texas

Hon. Barbara Hervey, Co-Chair

Judge

Texas Court of Criminal Appeals

Hon. Bill Boyce, Vice Chair

Iustice

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

Camille Cain

Executive Director

Texas Juvenile Justice Department

Hon. Brent Carr

Judge

Tarrant County, Criminal Court No. 9

Terry Crocker

Chief Executive Officer

Tropical Texas Behavioral Health

Gerald Davis

President and CEO

Goodwill Industries of Central Texas

Hon. Francisco Dominguez

Judge

El Paso County, 205th Judicial District Court

Hon. Camile DuBose

Judge

Medina County, 38th Judicial District

Dr. Tony Fabelo

Senior Fellow for Justice Policy

Meadows Mental Health Policy Institute

Sonja Gaines

Deputy Executive Commissioner for Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities Texas Health and Human Services Commission

Hon. Ernie Glenn

Drug Court Magistrate

Bexar County

JUDICIAL COMMISSION ON MENTAL HEALTH COMMISSIONERS

Hon. Sid Harle

District Court Judge Bexar County, 226th Criminal District Court

Courtney Hjaltman

Policy Advisor Office of the Governor

Hon. Joan Huffman

Senator, District 17 Texas Senate

Dr. Andrew Keller

President and CEO Meadows Mental Health Policy Institute

Adrienne Kennedy

President National Alliance on Mental Illness

Hon. M. Sue Kurita

Judge

El Paso County Court at Law No. 6

Beth Lawson

Chief Executive Officer StarCare Specialty Health System

Major Mike Lee

Mental Health & Jail Diversion Bureau Harris County Sheriff's Office

Mike Maples

Deputy Executive Commissioner for Health and Specialty Care System Texas Health and Human Services Commission

Dr. Octavio Martinez

Executive Director Hogg Foundation for Mental Health

Hon. Stacey Mathews

Judge

Williamson County, 277th District Court

Chief James McLaughlin

Executive Director

Texas Police Chiefs Association

JUDICIAL COMMISSION ON MENTAL HEALTH COMMISSIONERS

Beth Mitchell

Supervising Attorney Disability Rights Texas

Tom Mitchell

Director of Jail Diversion Services
The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD

Hon. Joe Moody

Representative, District 78 Texas House of Representatives

Hon. Roxanne Nelson

Justice of the Peace Burnet County Precinct 1

Hon. Robert Newsom

Judge Hopkins County

Denise Oncken

Bureau Chief Harris County District Attorney, Mental Health Bureau

Hon. Harriet O'Neill

Justice (ret.) Harriet O'Neill Law Office

Dr. William Schnapp

Mental Health Policy Advisor Harris County

Prof. Brian Shannon

Paul Whitfield Horn Professor Texas Tech University School of Law

Reginald Smith

Policy Analyst Texas Criminal Justice Coalition

Hon. Polly Jackson Spencer

Judge (ret.) Bexar County Probate Court

Hon. Cynthia Wheless

Judge

Collin County, 417th Judicial District Court

Hon. Mark Allen

Judge

Jasper County

Trey Apffel

Executive Director State Bar of Texas

David Aronofsky

Professor

American Law Institute

Hon. Mark Atkinson

Chief Executive Officer Texas Center for the Judiciary

Hon. Daphne Previti Austin

Judge

Bexar County, 289th Juvenile District Court

Lauren Bledsoe

Trauma-Informed Care Program Specialist Department of Family Protective Services

Jay Brandon

Assistant District Attorney Bexar County

Dr. Virginia Brown

Assistant Professor Dell Medical Center at University of Texas

Geoff Burkhart

Executive Director Texas Indigent Defense Commission

Hon. Nelda Cacciotti

Judicial Staff Counsel/Mental Health Magistrate Tarrant County

Angel Carroll

Emerging Adult Policy Associate Lone Star Justice Alliance

Seth Christensen

Director of Stakeholder Relations Texas Juvenile Justice Department

Hon. Rex Davis

Justice

Tenth Court of Appeals

Leah Davies

Project Manager, Strategic Health Alliance Texas Center for Disability Studies University of Texas at Austin

Alyse Ferguson

Chief Attorney

Collin County Mental Health Managed Counsel

Lesli Fitzpatrick

Specialty Court Coordinator Williamson County DWI/Drug Court

Gilbert Gonzales

Director

Department of Behavioral and Mental Health Bexar County

Greg Hansch

Public Policy Director NAMI Texas

Dr. Courtney Harvey

Forensic Director

Texas Health and Human Services Commission

Elizabeth Henneke

Executive Director

Lone Star Justice Alliance

Colleen Horton

Policy Program Officer

Hogg Foundation for Mental Health

Angelita Hunter

Judicial Clerk

City of McKinney Municipal Court

Trina K. Ita

Associate Commissioner Medical & Social Services (MSS) Division, Behavioral Health Services

Texas Health and Human Services Commission

Hon. David Jahn

Associate Judge Denton County Probate Court

Lee Johnson

Deputy Director Texas Council of Community Centers, Inc.

Windy Johnson

Program Manager, IGR Texas Conference of Urban Counties

Louise Joy

Attorney Joy & Young, LLP

Hon. Evelyn Keyes

Justice

First Court of Appeals

Chris Lopez

Assistant General Counsel HHSC State Hospital

Mike Lozito

Judicial Services Director Bexar County

Trish McAllister

Executive Director Texas Access to Justice Commission

Jennifer Yip Marshall

Survivors of Trafficking Empowerment Program (STEP) Supervisor Refugee Services of Texas

Hon. Lela Mays

Magistrate Judge Successful Treatment of Addiction through Collaboration (STAC) Criminal District Court

Joanna Mendez

Community Wellness Counselor Refugee Services of Texas

Terry Qualls

Crisis Intervention Coordinator McKinney Police Department

Janis Reinken

Chief Clerk House Committee on Corrections

Michelle Romero

Associate Director Texas Medical Association

Melissa Schank

Executive Director Texas Criminal Defense Lawyers Association

Brigid Sheridan

Associate General Counsel University Health System

Matt Smith

Assistant Executive Director/ Director of Mental Health Services Williamson County Juvenile Services

Jeanne Stamp

Director Texas Homeless Education Office West Pickle Research Building

Hon. Charles Stephens

Judge Comal County Court at Law #2

Larry Temple

Executive Director Texas Workforce Commission

Gloria Terry

Chief Executive Officer Texas Council on Family Violence

Rick Thompson

Senior Legislative Manager Texas Association of Counties

Hon. Ryan Kellus Turner

General Counsel and Director of Education Texas Municipal Court Education Center

Keona Ugwuh

Community Supervision Officer Dallas County

Dee Wilson

Texas Correctional Office on Offenders with Medical or Mental Impairments

Dennis Wilson

Sheriff, Limestone County Sheriff's Association

Steve Wohleb

Senior Vice President/General Counsel Texas Hospital Association

Julie Wayman

Behavioral and Mental Health School Health & Related Services Coordinator Texas Education Agency

Thea Whalen

Executive Director Texas Justice Courts Training Center

Brandon Wood

Executive Director Texas Commission on Jail Standards

Christine Yanas

Director of Governmental Affairs Methodist Healthcare Ministries

April Zamora

Director, Reentry and Integration Division/ Texas Correctional Office on Offenders with Medical or Mental Impairments Texas Department of Criminal Justice

JUDICIAL COMMISSION ON MENTAL HEALTH BENCHBOOK COMMITTEE

Hon. Camile DuBose, Chair

Judge

Medina County, 38th Judicial District

Hon. Bill Boyce

Justice

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

Hon. Nelda Cacciotti

Mental Health Magistrate Tim Curry Criminal Justice Center

Hon. Rex Davis

Justice

Tenth Court of Appeals

Alyse Ferguson

Chief Attorney

Collin County MH Managed Counsel

Dr. Courtney Harvey

Forensic Director

Texas Health and Human Services

Hon. David Jahn

Associate Judge

Denton County Probate Court

Lee Johnson

Deputy Director

Texas Council of Community Centers

Brian Shannon

Professor

Texas Tech School of Law

Hon. Ryan Turner

General Counsel & Director of Education

Texas Municipal Court Educators

JUDICIAL COMMISSION ON MENTAL HEALTH CURRICULUM COMMITTEE

Hon. Mark Allen

Judge

Jasper County

David Aronofsky

Professor

American Law Institute

Hon. Brent Carr

Judge

Tarrant County, Criminal Court No. 9

Dr. Tony Fabelo

Senior Fellow for Justice Policy Meadows Mental Health Policy Institute

Lesli Fitzpatrick

Specialty Court Coordinator
Williamson County Court at Law #2

Gilbert Gonzales

Director

Bexar County Dept. of Behavioral and Mental Health

Dr. Courtney Harvey

Forensic Director

Texas Health and Human Services Commission

Lee Johnson

Deputy Director

Texas Council of Community Centers

Adrienne Kennedy

President

National Alliance on Mental Illness

Hon. M. Sue Kurita

Judge

El Paso County Court at Law No. 6

Mike Lozito

Director Judicial Services

Bexar County Pretrial Services

JUDICIAL COMMISSION ON MENTAL HEALTH CURRICULUM COMMITTEE

Hon. Roxanne Nelson

Judge

Burnet County Precinct 1

Hon. Robert Newsom

Judge

Hopkins County

Denise Oncken

Bureau Chief

Harris County District Attorney, Mental Health Bureau

Janis Reinken

Chief Clerk

House Committee on Corrections

Judge John Specia

Plunkett, Griesenbeck and Mimari, Inc. (ret.)

Hon. Polly Jackson Spencer

Judge (ret.)

Bexar County Probate Court

Hon. Charles Stephens

Judge

Comal County Court at Law #2

Steve Wohleb

Senior Vice President/General Counsel

Texas Hospital Association

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS AND THE TEXAS COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

Supreme Court Misc. Docket No. 18-9025 Court of Criminal Appeals Misc. Docket No. 18-004

ORDER ESTABLISHING JUDICIAL COMMISSION ON MENTAL HEALTH

Recognizing that improving the lives of Texans who are affected by mental health issues and are involved in the justice system requires judicial leadership at the highest level, in June 2016 the Supreme Court of Texas directed the Texas Judicial Council to establish a Mental Health Committee. The Court charged the Mental Health Committee with examining best practices in the administration of civil and criminal justice for persons with mental illness.

The Mental Health Committee determined that Texas requires additional resources to ensure that: (1) mental health providers and professionals are able to provide timely and complete mental health assessments; (2) community-based mental health services are available to defendants; (3) outpatient treatment services and education services are available to those providing competency restoration services; (4) inpatient mental health facilities other than those operated by the Department of State Health Services are available for purposes of competency restoration; and (5) jail-based competency restoration programs, either state-funded or county-funded or both, are available.

The Texas Legislature invests heavily each year in behavioral and mental health systems to address mental illness and associated disorders. Yet the criminal justice system still serves as a default provider of mental health services for many Texans. This impact is most often felt at the local level where jail costs related to mental illness exceed \$50 million each year in some counties.

Courts and the justice system have a profound impact on mental health services provided to children, adults, and families in this state, and the stakes are exceedingly high. As gatekeepers for families and individuals in crisis, courts must make life-altering decisions that require knowledge of multiple and complex issues such as childhood and adult trauma, abuse, neglect, intellectual and developmental disabilities, substance use, family violence, poverty, racism, and military combat, and how each affects a person's mental health. Too often, courts lack the technology, training, and resources needed to make well-informed decisions.

The Mental Health Committee identified other problems that traditionally exist where complex human service systems intersect with the judicial system, including:

- overcrowded dockets, leaving courts inadequate time to thoughtfully consider the multiple issues that persons with mental illness present and confront;
- a lack of communication, coordination, and collaboration between and among the courts, the state and local mental health providers, attorneys, and mental health advocates;
- a need for specialized, multidisciplinary legal training, and the means to develop and share best practices;
- a lack of technology to efficiently manage dockets and to track and analyze cases and caseloads involving mental health challenges;
- a lack of adequate training and fair compensation for attorneys;
- a need for the children and adults involved in the justice system to have a voice in decisions that affect their lives; and
- a lack of community resources to provide adequate mental health services to children, youth, and families.

The Mental Health Committee also recommended the establishment of a permanent judicial commission on mental health, similar to the Supreme Court's Children's Commission, the Texas Access to Justice Commission, and the Texas Indigent Defense Commission.

Many organizations and individuals throughout the state share a commitment to improving mental health services to Texans, but no single entity is able to coordinate and implement a comprehensive effort aimed at the improvement of the administration of justice in this area.

On January 11, 2018, the Supreme Court and the Court of Criminal Appeals held a historic joint hearing to gather input on what should comprise the priorities of a statewide judicial commission. Mental health experts, state and tribal judges, law enforcement, veterans, juvenile services experts, psychologists, psychiatrists, and persons with lived experience with these systems, provided valuable insight at the hearing and voiced unqualified support for the creation of a statewide judicial commission.

Therefore, the Supreme Court of Texas and the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals ("the two Courts"), having reviewed the report of the Judicial Council's Mental Health Committee, and

understanding the urgency expressed by various community stakeholders and participants in the Texas mental health system, HEREBY ORDER:

The Judicial Commission on Mental Health ("the Commission") is created to develop, implement, and coordinate policy initiatives designed to improve the courts' interaction with—and the administration of justice for—children, adults, and families with mental health needs.

The Judicial Council's Mental Health Committee, chaired by the Honorable Bill Boyce of Houston, is commended for its examination of best practices and identification and review of innovative approaches to improve the administration of justice in cases involving mental health issues. The Judicial Council's Mental Health Committee will remain intact until it is dissolved by the Judicial Council upon the Commission's recommendation, at which time the Committee's duties will transition to the Commission.

The Commission will:

- develop a strategic plan for strengthening courts and the administration of justice in relation to Texas' mental health system;
- identify and assess current and future needs for the courts to be more effective in achieving positive outcomes for Texans with mental illness;
- promote best practices and programs that are data-driven, evidence-based, and outcome-focused;
- improve collaboration and communication among courts and the mental health system stakeholders;
- endeavor to increase resources and funding and maximize the effective and efficient use of available judicial system resources;
- promote appropriate judicial training regarding mental health needs, systems, and services;
- establish a collaborative model that will continue systemic improvement within the judiciary beyond the tenure of individual Commission members;
- oversee the administration of funds appropriated and granted to the Commission; and
- provide progress reports to the two Courts.

The Commission will consist of no fewer than fourteen (14) Commissioners. The Commission will be co-chaired by a justice of the Supreme Court of Texas and a judge of the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals appointed by their respective Courts. The two Courts shall appoint a justice from the Texas Courts of Appeals to serve as Vice Chair of the Commission. The first collection of Commissioners shall be appointed by a joint order of the two Courts. Thereafter, new Commissioners shall be appointed jointly by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the Presiding Judge of the Court of Criminal Appeals ("the two chiefs"). Each Commissioner shall serve a two-year term and may be renewed by the two chiefs at their discretion. A vacancy on the Commission is created by a Commissioner's three consecutive absences from scheduled Commission meetings, subject to reappointment or the resignation of the Commissioner.

The Commissioners shall include members of the judiciary, members of the juvenile, criminal, and child protection systems and community, representatives of the business and legal communities, representatives of foundations or organizations with a substantial interest in mental health matters, and other state and local leaders who have demonstrated a commitment to mental health matters affecting Texans.

The Governor is invited to designate a person to serve as an ex-officio member of the Commission. The Lieutenant Governor and the Speaker of the House are invited to designate a member from the Texas Senate and the Texas House of Representatives, respectively, to serve as exofficio members of the Commission. Ex-officio members appointed by the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, and Speaker serve at the pleasure of the appointing officer.

The two Courts recognize that participation by a broad spectrum of persons involved with the mental health, juvenile, criminal, and child welfare systems is critical to the Commission's success. Accordingly, the Commission is empowered to appoint an advisory council as necessary to ensure the Commission is informed by experts in multiple disciplines. Members of the advisory council may attend Commission meetings and may serve on committees as determined by the Commission.

The Commission may adopt rules as necessary for the performance of the Commission's duties and may form new committees or disband existing committees as it deems appropriate.

The Honorable Jeff Brown, Justice, Supreme Court of Texas, and the Honorable Barbara Hervey, Judge, Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, shall serve as the initial Co-Chairs of the Commission. The Honorable Bill Boyce, Justice, Fourteenth Court of Appeals, shall serve as the initial Vice Chair.

SIGNED BY THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS this 13th day of February, 2018.

Vathanti Sellit
Nathan L. Hecht, Chief Justice
Chum Breeze
Paul W. Green, Justice
Pail ohusan
Phil Johnson, Justice
Tia M. Guyman
Eva M. Guzman, Justice
Letra 2. Lehrman
Debra H. Lehrmann, Justice
Http://
14 My Coya
Jeffrey S. Hove, Justice
John P. Devine, Justice
John V. Devine, Justice
John V. Devree, Justice
John V. Devree, Justice Jeffrey V. Brown, Justice
gray Brown
gray Brown

SIGNED BY THE TEXAS COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS this 13th day of February, 2018.

Sharon Keller, Presiding Judge
Michael Keasler, Judge
Barbara Hervey, Judge
Elsa Alcala, Judge
Bert Richardson, Judge
Kevin P. Yeary, Judge David Newell, Judge
Mary Lou Keel, Judge
Scott Walker, Judge

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS AND THE TEXAS COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

Supreme Court Misc. Docket No. 18-9059 Court of Criminal Appeals Misc. Docket No. 18-009

ORDER APPOINTING JUDICIAL COMMISSION ON MENTAL HEALTH

Pursuant to the Order Establishing the Judicial Commission on Mental Health Commission which was jointly issued by the Supreme Court of Texas and the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals on February 13, 2018, the following members are hereby appointed for a term effective immediately and expiring August 31, 2020:

Hon. Bill Boyce, Houston

Hon. Brent Carr, Fort Worth Camille Cain, Austin

Terry Crocker, Edinburg

Jerry Davis, Austin

Hon. Francisco Dominguez, El Paso

Hon. Camile DuBose, Hondo Dr. Tony Fabelo, Austin Sonja Gaines, Austin

Hon. Ernie Glenn, San Antonio Hon. Sid Harle, San Antonio Dr. Andrew Keller, Dallas Adrienne Kennedy, Austin Hon. M. Sue Kurita, El Paso

Beth Ann Lawson, Lubbock

Major Mike Lee, Houston

Chief James McLaughlin, Jr. (ret.), Elgin

Mike Maples, Austin

Dr. Octavio Martinez, Austin

Hon. Stacey Matthews, Round Rock

Beth Mitchell, Austin Tom Mitchell, Houston

Hon. Roxanne Nelson, Marble Falls Hon. Robert Newsom, Sulphur Springs

Hon. Harriet O'Neill (ret.), Austin

Denise Oncken, Houston

Dr. William B. Schnapp, Houston

Dr. Brian Shannon, Lubbock

Reginald Smith, Austin

Hon. Polly Jackson Spencer (ret.), San Antonio

Hon. Cynthia Wheless, Plano

The Honorable Jeff Brown, Justice, Supreme Court of Texas, and the Honorable Barbara Hervey, Judge, Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, shall serve as the initial Co-Chairs of the Commission. The Honorable Bill Boyce, Justice, Fourteenth Court of Appeals, shall serve as the initial Vice Chair.

The Governor is invited to designate a person to serve as an ex-officio member of the Commission. The Lieutenant Governor and the Speaker of the House are invited to designate a member from the Texas Senate and the Texas House of Representatives, respectively, to serve as exofficio members of the Commission. Ex-officio members appointed by the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, and Speaker serve at the pleasure of the appointing officer.

SIGNED BY THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS this 10th day of April, 2018.

Nother Colf
Nathan L. Hecht, Chief Justice
Chum Ben
Paul W. Green, Justice
Pail Ohnson
Phil Johnson, Justice
Tu M. Guyman
Eva M. Guzman, Justice
Debra H. Lehrmann, Justice
Kithan Bord
Jeffrey S. Hoyd, instice
John P. Devine, Justice
graph Brown
effrey V. Brown, Justice
J. Beller
James B. Blacklock, Justice

Sharon Keller, Presiding Judge
Michael Keasler, Judge
Barbara Hervey, Judge
Elsa Alcola
Elsa Alcala, Judge
Bert Richardson, Judge
Kevin P. Yeary, Judge
David Newell, Judge May low
Mary Lou Keel, Judge

Insert Tab 6



Judicial Commission on Mental Health Financial Report

FY 2019 BUDGET

FY2019 State General Revenue \$ 1,000,000 FY2019 Available Funds \$ 1,000,000

FY 2019 PROPOSED OBLIGATIONS

		Expenses		C	Outstanding
	Budget	To-date		(Obligations
Indirect	\$ 451,885			\$	451,885
Projects	\$ 219,000			\$	219,000
Scholarships	\$ 12,500			\$	12,500
•	\$ 683,385	\$ -	. !	\$	683,385

FY 2019 CURRENT FINANCIAL STATUS

Estimated Unexpended/CIP Use	\$ 263,931
FY 2018 Outstanding Obligations	\$ (182,243)
State General Revenue Balance 8/7/18	\$ 446,174

Insert Tab 7

Informal Survey: Early-Intercept Process Mapping

The following is an informal survey about early-intercept procedures in your area. To make the survey more manageable, we have limited the scope of the questions to pre-booking procedures, jail and bail, and pre-adjudicatory diversionary procedures. We hope that these questions will facilitate and guide our Beyond the Bench discussion at the August 10 meeting. We also plan to use the survey (once revised) to solicit information from the attendees at the October Summit.

For each question, we encourage write-in responses that either elaborate upon an answer or that seek clarification about the question. If you are not comfortable answering a question or do not have the information necessary to respond, please leave it blank. Responses will not be shared or made public. You may self-identify or choose to remain anonymous.

For our juvenile justice partners, please identify that you are responding for juvenile justice, and assume that John Doe is a youth. Please write N/A when a question is not applicable and note any differences in the juvenile justice system from the criminal justice system.

We are requesting that you complete the survey by Monday, August 6. If we receive your responses by then, we will be able use them at the Commission meeting. However, if you are unable to complete the survey by then, we welcome your responses anytime as we will use the information we collect to prepare for the Summit. Thank you for your time.

Participant information	
Participant information	
1. What is the population of the county in which you	work?
Less than 10,000	100,000 to 250,000
10,000 to 30,000	250,000 to 1,000,000
30,000 to 100,000	Over 1,000,000

2. V	Vhat is your role? (Check all that apply.)
	District Court Judge
	Probate Judge
	County Court-at-Law Judge
	Justices of the Peace
	Municipal Court Judge
	Magistrate
	District attorney
	Prosecutors
	Indigent defense attorneys
	County Law Enforcement
	Municipal Law Enforcement
	Correctional Facility
	LMHA
	LIDDA
	People with Lived Experience/Family
	Hospitals
	Pre-trial Services
	Housing
	Education
	Juvenile Justice
	Academia
	Advocacy Group
	Other Mental Health Service Provider
	Other (please specify)

Warrantless emergency detention under Health a Code chapter 573 Hospital (ER) Hospital (psychiatric unit) Crisis-intervention center Local mental health authority (LMHA, also know local intellectual and developmental disability aut (LIDDA) Comments:	Contact person's friend or relative Other psychiatric facility Other community-based option Other (please explain below) as MHMR) or
4. How frequently are alternatives to arrest p Almost always Frequently Sometimes Comments:	pursued? Almost never Never

ь полити развити разви	alternatives? (Check all that apply.)
No mental health facility available in area	Officer is unaware of alternatives available
Insufficient beds in local facility	Officer has liability concerns
Warrantless emergency detention is legally cumbersome	Local policies that require initial admission to jail if criminal
The legal standard for warrantless emergency detention is to high	Hospital unable or unwilling to accept person for safety
Transportation challenges	reasons
Officer uncomfortable with making mental health decision	Hospital unable or unwilling to accept person for legal reas
Officer must stay with person while awaiting treatment	No stable housing Other
Comments:	

CCP 16.22: Early identification and assessment

The officer arrests John and transports him to jail. The jailer who facilitates booking of John observes similar behaviors that leads the jailer to also suspect mental illness or intellectual disability.

Most inmates booked	Unknown because no such data is collected
Approximately half of inmates booked	Unknown to me
A small portion of inmates booked	
Comments:	
8. How often are law enforcement, jailers, or membor their statutory obligations under CCP art. 16.22	pers of the judiciary unclear about the forms, terminolog
Rarely	•
Sometimes	
Routinely	
Comments:	
Comments:	
	nination as to whether to order an assessment during Rarely. The magistrate needs more time to collect addition information before making the decision.
9. How often does the magistrate make the determ magistration? Almost always. The magistrate usually receives the	Rarely. The magistrate needs more time to collect addition information before making the decision. Never
9. How often does the magistrate make the determ magistration? Almost always. The magistrate usually receives the sheriff/jailers notice before magistration. Almost always. The magistrates always makes that determination during magistration— regardless of whether she has received notice—based on the person's history of the magistrate of the person's history of the magistration.	Rarely. The magistrate needs more time to collect addition information before making the decision. Never er or hel Other (please explain below)
9. How often does the magistrate make the determ magistration? Almost always. The magistrate usually receives the sheriff/jailers notice before magistration. Almost always. The magistrates always makes that determination during magistration— regardless of whether she has received notice—based on the person's history own observations of the person's behavior.	Rarely. The magistrate needs more time to collect addition information before making the decision. Never er or he Other (please explain below)
9. How often does the magistrate make the determ magistration? Almost always. The magistrate usually receives the sheriff/jailers notice before magistration. Almost always. The magistrates always makes that determination during magistration— regardless of whether she has received notice—based on the person's history own observations of the person's behavior. Sometimes. When the magistrate receives notice varies. Rarely. Magistration usually happens before the magistrate.	Rarely. The magistrate needs more time to collect addition information before making the decision. Never er or he Other (please explain below)

Months
Rarely happens
Widely varies
in determining whether to order an assessment?
Very unconfident
Very unconfident, but errs on the side of ordering an assessment
Very unconfident, but errs on the side of not ordering an assessment
The magistrate orders more assessments than the number notices she receives based on other information
an assessment that she has ordered?

Almost always Often We do not keep those records Occasionally Comments:	14. F	How often is a 16.22 assessment on file from the	prec	eding 12 months?
Comments: 15. Who does the magistrate order to conduct the assessment? (Check all that apply) LMHA or LIDDA State or county hospital mental health personnel Other "qualified mental health expert" (please explain who below) Comments: 16. Where is the assessment conducted? (Check all that apply.) Jail Private mental health facility LMHA or LIDDA In person's home Other public mental health facility Other (please explain below) Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments		Almost always	\bigcirc	Almost never
25. Who does the magistrate order to conduct the assessment? (Check all that apply) LMHA or LIDDA		Often	\bigcirc	We do not keep those records
15. Who does the magistrate order to conduct the assessment? (Check all that apply) LMHA or LIDDA State or county hospital mental health personnel Other "qualified mental health expert" (please explain who below) Comments: 16. Where is the assessment conducted? (Check all that apply.) Jail Private mental health facility LMHA or LIDDA In person's home Other public mental health facility Other (please explain below) Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments		Occasionally		
LMHA or LIDDA State or county hospital mental health personnel Other "qualified mental health expert" (please explain who below) Comments: 16. Where is the assessment conducted? (Check all that apply.) Jail Private mental health facility LMHA or LIDDA In person's home Other public mental health facility Other (please explain below) Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments	Comr	nents:		
LMHA or LIDDA State or county hospital mental health personnel Other "qualified mental health expert" (please explain who below) Comments: 16. Where is the assessment conducted? (Check all that apply.) Jail Private mental health facility LMHA or LIDDA In person's home Other public mental health facility Other (please explain below) Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments				
LMHA or LIDDA State or county hospital mental health personnel Other "qualified mental health expert" (please explain who below) Comments: 16. Where is the assessment conducted? (Check all that apply.) Jail Private mental health facility LMHA or LIDDA In person's home Other public mental health facility Other (please explain below) Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments	15 \	Who does the magistrate order to conduct the as	2000	ment? (Check all that annly)
State or county hospital mental health personnel Other "qualified mental health expert" (please explain who below) Comments: 16. Where is the assessment conducted? (Check all that apply.) Jail Private mental health facility LMHA or LIDDA In person's home Other public mental health facility Other (please explain below) Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments			30331	ment: (Gricox all that apply)
Other "qualified mental health expert" (please explain who below) Comments: 16. Where is the assessment conducted? (Check all that apply.) Jail Private mental health facility LMHA or LIDDA In person's home Other public mental health facility Other (please explain below) Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments				
Comments: 16. Where is the assessment conducted? (Check all that apply.) Jail Private mental health facility LMHA or LIDDA In person's home Other public mental health facility Other (please explain below) Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments			-1	
16. Where is the assessment conducted? (Check all that apply.) Jail			elow)	
Jail Private mental health facility LMHA or LIDDA In person's home Other public mental health facility Other (please explain below) Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments	□ '	Comments:		
Jail Private mental health facility LMHA or LIDDA In person's home Other public mental health facility Other (please explain below) Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments				
Jail Private mental health facility LMHA or LIDDA In person's home Other public mental health facility Other (please explain below) Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments	46:			
LMHA or LIDDA In person's home Other public mental health facility Other (please explain below) Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments	16. V	Where is the assessment conducted? (Check all	that a	apply.)
Other public mental health facility Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments	<u></u>	Jail	Ш	Private mental health facility
Comments: 17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments		LMHA or LIDDA		In person's home
17. How often is telemedicine used to conduct the assessment? Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments		Other public mental health facility		Other (please explain below)
Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments	Comr	nents:		
Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments				
Almost always Almost never Frequently Never Approximately half of the assessments	4- 1			
Frequently Approximately half of the assessments			sess	
Approximately half of the assessments				
				Never
Comments:	\bigcirc	Approximately half of the assessments		
	Comr	nents:		

	Include assessment as a condition of bond		The LMHA or LIDDA personnel calls or visits the person in
	Develop have different constraints and		home to discuss noncompliance
Ш	Revoke bond for noncompliance and order the assessment be conducted in jail		Other mental health professional calls or visits the person his home to discuss noncompliance
	Revoke bond for noncompliance and order the assessment		
_	be conducted at a location other than jail		The judge calls or visits the person in his home to discuss noncompliance
	Order emergency detention under Chapter 573		The judge orders the person to appear in court to discuss
	Law enforcement calls or visits the person in his home to discuss noncompliance		noncompliance
			Other (please explain below)
	Comments:		
19.	How often is the assessment submitted on the re	quire	ed TCOOMMI form?
	Almost always		Almost never
	Frequently		Never
	Approximately half of the time		
Com	nments:		
Com	nments:		
Com	nments:		
	How often are medical records attached to the as	ssess	sment form?
		ssess	sment form? Almost never
	How often are medical records attached to the as	ssess	
	How often are medical records attached to the as	ssess	Almost never
20.	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently	ssess	Almost never
20.	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently Approximately half of the time	ssess	Almost never
20.	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently Approximately half of the time	Ssess	Almost never
20.	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently Approximately half of the time nments:		Almost never Never
20. Com	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently Approximately half of the time nments: If you are a member of the judiciary, describe you		Almost never Never
20. Com	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently Approximately half of the time nments: If you are a member of the judiciary, describe you MHMR).	ur rela	Almost never Never ationship with your LMHA or LIDDA (some know
20. Com	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently Approximately half of the time nments: If you are a member of the judiciary, describe you	ur rela	Almost never Never ationship with your LMHA or LIDDA (some know
20. Com	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently Approximately half of the time nments: If you are a member of the judiciary, describe you MHMR).	ur rela	Almost never Never ationship with your LMHA or LIDDA (some know
20. Com	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently Approximately half of the time nments: If you are a member of the judiciary, describe you MHMR). Excellent. We know each other well and work together frequency.	ur rela	Almost never Never ationship with your LMHA or LIDDA (some know eable to meet all of our mental health needs.
20. Com	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently Approximately half of the time nments: If you are a member of the judiciary, describe you MHMR). Excellent. We know each other well and work together frequency. Fair. We work together occasionally, but I do not feel that the	ur rela	Almost never Never ationship with your LMHA or LIDDA (some know eable to meet all of our mental health needs.
20. Com 21. as I	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently Approximately half of the time aments: If you are a member of the judiciary, describe you MHMR). Excellent. We know each other well and work together frequents. Fair. We work together occasionally, but I do not feel that the Poor. There is conflict regarding matters such as resource as I'm not familiar with my LMHA or LIDDA.	ur rela	Almost never Never ationship with your LMHA or LIDDA (some knows able to meet all of our mental health needs.
20. Com 21. as I	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently Approximately half of the time nments: If you are a member of the judiciary, describe you MHMR). Excellent. We know each other well and work together frequents. Fair. We work together occasionally, but I do not feel that the Poor. There is conflict regarding matters such as resource as	ur rela	Almost never Never ationship with your LMHA or LIDDA (some known able to meet all of our mental health needs.
20.	How often are medical records attached to the as Almost always Frequently Approximately half of the time aments: If you are a member of the judiciary, describe you MHMR). Excellent. We know each other well and work together frequents. Fair. We work together occasionally, but I do not feel that the Poor. There is conflict regarding matters such as resource as I'm not familiar with my LMHA or LIDDA.	ur rela	Almost never Never ationship with your LMHA or LIDDA (some knows) able to meet all of our mental health needs.

e magistrate receives a completed assess ellectual disability.	sment in which the expert found that John may have mental illness or an
22. How often does a positive assesperson during the pendency of crim	ssment lead to greater provision of treatment and services for the inal proceedings?
Almost always	Almost never
Frequently	Never
Sometimes	
Comments:	
23. How often does a positive asses	ssment lead to incompetency proceedings?
Almost always	Almost never
Frequently	Never
Sometimes	
Comments:	
Almost always Frequently	Ssment lead to civil commitment proceedings? Almost never Never
Sometimes	
Comments:	
25. How often are multiple assessm booking decompensation)?	nents ordered on a single defendant (for example, because of post-
Almost always	Almost never
Frequently	Never
Sometimes	
Comments:	
26. Describe other events or consecutive of the con	quences that may flow from a positive assessment.

27. Wh	o reports to OCA the n	umber of assessm	ents received?		
_ The	e magistrate (or clerk)				
The	e trial court (or clerk)				
O No	one; OCA collects the inform	nation from our records	;		
The	e results are not reported				
Commer	nts:				

ail
ne magistrate sends the results of John's assessment to the trial court.
28. What does the trial court do with the results?(Check all that apply.)
Flag the case and assessment for future consideration
Determine whether incompetency proceeding is required
Initiate diversionary proceedings
Consider for purposes of setting bail
Nothing at that time
Consider the results of assessment at punishment
Other (please explain below)
Comments:
20. How does the accessment affect the hand decisions?(Check all that apply.)
29. How does the assessment affect the bond decisions?(Check all that apply.)
Likely results in a PR bond under CCP art. 17.032
Likely results in a denial of bond
Inpatient treatment ordered as bond condition
Outpatient treatment ordered as bond condition
Treatment not ordered because of constitutional limitations
Varies
Does not affect bond decisions
Depends on person's housing situation
Other (please explain below)
Comments:
30. Are pretrial services available in your county?
Yes
○ No
Comments:

	How difficult is it to ensure that person adheres to treatment plan, including taking prescribed dication?
	Very easy
	Somewhat easy
	Somewhat difficult
	Very difficult
Com	nments:
	If a person refuses to comply with ordered treatment, what tools does your jurisdiction commonly use to orce compliance? (Check all that apply.)
	Revoke bond, arrest, and provide treatment at jail
	Revoke bond for noncompliance and order treatment to be provided at a location other than jail
	Order emergency detention under Chapter 573
	Law enforcement calls or visits the person in his home to discuss noncompliance
	The LMHA or LIDDA personnel calls or visits the person in his home to discuss noncompliance
	Other mental health professional calls or visits the person in his home to discuss noncompliance
	The judge calls or visits the person in his home to discuss noncompliance
	The judge orders the person to appear in court to discuss noncompliance
	Other (please explain below)
Com	nments:

etrial diversion	
33. How often does a positive assessment	t prompt diversionary proceedings?
Almost always	Almost never
Frequently	Never
Sometimes	
Comments:	
34. What diversionary options are routinely	y pursued, if any? (Check all that apply.)
Mental health specialty court or docket	Civil commitment proceedings (criminal charge dismissed)
Other pretrial diversion program	Civil commitment proceedings (criminal charges retained at
Deferred adjudication or disposition	least temporarily)
	Other (please explain below)
Comments:	
	nitment for mental health services is available under chapter 574 jes do not involve an act, attempt, or threat of serious bodily
○ No	
○ No	
No Other	
No Other Comments:	
No Other Comments:	nealth related specialty court?
No Other Comments:	nealth related specialty court?
No Other Comments: 36. Does your jurisdiction have a mental h	nealth related specialty court?
No Other Comments: 36. Does your jurisdiction have a mental h	nealth related specialty court?

○ Voc	
Yes	
No	
Comments:	
	a collaborative jail-diversion team in your area? (If you answer no, the next question will be n of the survey.)
Yes	
○ No	
Comments:	
39. If there is	not a collaborative jail-diversion team in your area, are you familiar with these efforts?
Yes	
No	
Comments:	
40.46.4	
_	a jail-diversion team in your area, is it a county, city, or regional initiative?
County	
City	
Regional	
Comments:	

41.	If there is a jail-diversion team, who is involved? (
	in there is a jan-diversion team, who is involved: (Che	ck all that apply.)
	District judge		Municipal police
	District attorney		Municipal jailer
	County judge		Defense attorney
	County attorney		LMHA
	JP/magistrate		LIDDA
	Sheriff		Hospital administrator
	Jailer		Other medical personnel
	County commissioner		Advocacy group
	Municipal judge		Other mental health service provider
	Municipal prosecutor		Other
Com	ments:		
42.	If there is a jail-diversion team, how often does the	e tea	m meet?
	Weekly		Yearly
	Monthly	\bigcirc	As needed
	Quarterly		Other
Com	ments:		

	District judge
 	District attorney
	County judge
 	County attorney
 	JP/magistrate
 	Sheriff
 	Jailer
	County commissioner
 	Municipal judge
 	Municipal prosecutor
	Municipal police
	Municipal jailer
_	Defense attorney
_	LMHA
	LIDDA
	Hospital administrator
	Other medical personnel
	Other
	None. Various members organize meetings and other team efforts.
Con	mments:

Insert Tab 8



The Supreme Court of Texas and The Texas Court of Criminal Appeals

Invite you to attend the first annual statewide
Judicial Summit on Mental Health
October 22-23
Houston, Texas

Register Today - CLICK HERE

Too often, individuals with mental illness, trauma, and intellectual and developmental disabilities are served by multiple court systems with little collaboration across systems. The new Judicial Commission on Mental Health is partnering with the Supreme Court Children's Commission to bring together leaders in the child welfare, juvenile justice, and criminal justice court systems to build collaboration and develop strategies to strengthen our courts and enhance our judicial system's ability to serve adults and children facing mental health and IDD challenges.

This event will include opportunities to connect with colleagues, establish new relationships, and engage in learning sessions designed to address mental health and related issues specific to youth-serving and adult-serving courts.

Click here to view the Summit Schedule at-a-Glance and event website.

Participants from the criminal justice system will receive tools to help navigate the complex mental health laws. Regional teams will receive assistance in creating local plans to improve case management and leverage resources.

Child welfare and juvenile justice system participants will discuss issues facing our children involved in child welfare, as well as youth involved in both the child welfare and juvenile justice systems. Participants will examine proposed statewide system reform strategies to promote trauma-responsive principles and practices throughout Texas.

The invitation-only Summit will be free for participants and includes travel reimbursement, as applicable.